

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0250320 DATE: <u>9/27/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:15 AM</u> DEPART:	: <u>10:40 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: ZOO MIAMI							
FACILITY LOCATION: 12400 SW 152ND ST							
MIAMI 33177							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: A. FONTANA PHONE: (305)251-04 Email: Mobile:	.01						
CONTACT NAME: A. FONTANA PHONE: (305)251-04	.01						
Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/8/2005 / 10/7/2010 (effective date) (end date)							
(effective date) (effective)							
Facility Section							
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)							
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one						
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)						
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still A. FONTANA? If no, who is?: ERIC STEPHENS	☐ Yes						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	- ⊠ Yes □No □ Yes ⊠No						
If no, who is?: <u>CHRISTINE MILLER</u> 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?							

Emissions Unit Section 1 –200 #/HR SIMONDS PATHOLOGICAL INCINERATOR FOR ZOO

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
 3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No □No
operation?	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?		∐No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test? □ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		□No □No □No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test?	Yes	⊠No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No □No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour	□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	rds?	□No
If yes, what reason?		

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)
2. a b	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ————————————————————————————————————	∑ Yes ☐ Yes	□No
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	□No
	(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
	 Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	- Yes	□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- Yes	□No
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————		□No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ——————————b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat		□No
		Yes	□No
	process begins in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes (check ☑	only one
		☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each	only one
1.	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	☐ Yes (check ☑ box for each	only one

		*	only one		
PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		box for each	n question)		
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manu 2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating pr shutdown and malfunction? 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame cha If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (checked in the properties of the	during each operating shift?	YesYesYesYesYes	□No □No □No □No □No		
		ппчев			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check ✓	only one h question)		
Administrative Changes:		ook for cuc	in question)		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	cation of the facility or any emissions uni administrative change at the facility? ays of the change?	ts or	 ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No 		
FRANK DELGADO	9/23/2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	9/2011				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection			
COMMENTS: ANIMAL CREMATORY WAS NOT OPERAT TWICE PER MONTH. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY JODI 1 ON 8/23/2010. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.					